

Journal

Office of Legislative Counsel

Monday - 23 March 1959

25X1A

1. [] who stated he had replaced [] former General Counsel of the National Security Agency, telephoned Legislative Counsel. [] was interested in our thoughts on H. R. 1870 proposing to provide that all positions in Government are sensitive, thus overcoming the Supreme Court case of Cole v. Young. (A letter to the Post Office and Civil Service Committee has been signed by the DCI requesting exemption for the Agency and is being held for Bureau of the Budget clearance.) [] seemed satisfied with our assistance and it was suggested he might wish to visit with us to discuss the matter in more detail.

25X1A

2. Telephoned Mr. Sourwine, Senate Internal Security Subcommittee, in response to his query concerning [] [] The matter had been checked with [] (EE) and Sourwine was advised that []

25X1X

25X1A

25X1C

[] The Agency offered to secure answers to written questions if Mr. Sourwine desired. Under the circumstances, Mr. Sourwine said he would rely on our judgment and no further action will be taken.

3. Attempted to see Representative Richard H. Poff (Va.) in connection with [] case. In his absence talked with his assistant, Mr. Bradford and explained to him that [] did not meet the criteria for employment by the Agency according to the investigation which we had conducted. Mr. Bradford said he was grateful and he was sure Mr. Poff would appreciate the information.

25X1A

4. [] stated the Director had met with [] and apparently there was some discussion of the Freedom Commission Act bills by Herlong and Judd. [] requested that copies of these bills be sent to the DCI.

STATOTHR

25X1A